

# The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter Stevenage Borough Council for the year ended 31 March 2007

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) investigates complaints by members of the public who consider that they have been caused injustice through administrative fault by local authorities and certain other bodies. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

### Annual Letter 2006/07 - Introduction

The aim of the annual letter is to provide a summary of information on the complaints about your authority that we have received and try to draw any lessons learned about the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements. These might then be fed back into service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

There are two attachments which form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

# Complaints received

### Volume

In 2006/2007, I received 13 complaints against the Council, compared with 18 in 2005/2006 and 21 in 2005/2006. While I expect complaint numbers to fluctuate year to year, I welcome this reduction.

### Character

As in previous years, the majority of complaints were about housing matters. But these were down to 7 from 14 in 2004/2005 and 9 in 2005/2006. The remaining complaints comprised 3 about planning applications, 2 about transport and highways and 1 about public finance (local taxation).

### Decisions on complaints

# Reports and local settlements

We use the term 'local settlement' to describe the outcome of a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council takes, or agrees to take, some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint and the investigation does not need to be completed. These form a significant proportion of the complaints we determine. When we complete an investigation we must issue a report.

In 2006/2007, I made decisions on 12 complaints against the Council. I issued no reports but I concluded local settlements on 3 complaints. In one case, I found that the Council had delayed unreasonably for over a year in dealing with representations about a housing benefit overpayment and then it delayed in dealing with the complaint about the matter. The Council refunded the overpayment, apologised and paid £200 compensation. I commend the Council for promptly offering an appropriate remedy for the complaint.

In another case, I found that the Council delayed for seven months in repairing a communal entrance door to a block of flats. The complainant, who was a wheelchair user, was unable to leave or enter the block after 12:30pm until morning. In response, the Council repaired the door and paid compensation totalling £625.

The third local settlement concerned delay in dealing with correspondence related to a planning enforcement case. The Council had reasonable grounds for deciding not to act, but should have kept the complainant informed about what it was doing and why. It agreed to pay the complainant £100 for his time and trouble in pursuing the matter.

# Page 2

# Other findings

Of the remaining 9 decisions, I found no fault which warranted my involvement on 4 occasions and I used my discretion not to pursue one other complaint. In a further 4 cases the Council had not had a reasonable opportunity to deal with matters before I became involved.

# Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

I referred four complaints back to the Council so that it could investigate them before I became involved. Nationally, 28.2% of all complaints are referred back to councils before my colleagues or I can consider matters, so this is in line with the national average.

In my annual letter for 2005/2006, I noted that, while the Council's performance had improved, its average response time to my initial enquiries remained outside my target of 28 calendar days. In 2006/2007, the average response time was 43.4 days (an increase of 11.6 days from the year before). The average was significantly affected by a complaint about managing tenancies, where it was 100 days before I received the Council's response, although some other responses were also well outside my target time. I expect the Council is keen to reverse this trend and trust that it does so during 2006/2007.

# Training in complaint handling

As part of our role to provide advice in good administrative practice, we offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. The feedback from courses that have been delivered over the past two and a half years is very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand and in addition to the generic Good Complaint Handing (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution) we now offer these courses specifically for social services staff. We can run open courses for groups of staff from smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

# Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

As you know, I seek to visit all councils in my jurisdiction periodically, although neither I nor any senior member or my staff has visited your Council since October 2004. Please let me know if you would find a further meeting useful during 2007/2008.

# LGO developments

I thought it would be helpful to update you on a project we are implementing to improve the first contact that people have with us as part of our customer focus initiative. We are developing a new Access and Advice Service that will provide a gateway to our services for all complainants and enquirers. It will be mainly telephone-based but will also deal with email, text and letter correspondence. As the project progresses we will keep you informed about developments and expected timescales.

# Page 3

Changes brought about by the Local Government Bill are also expected to impact on the way that we work and again we will keep you informed as relevant.

We have just issued a special report that draws on our experience of dealing with complaints about planning applications for phone masts considered under the prior approval system, which can be highly controversial. We recommend simple measures that councils can adopt to minimise the problems that can occur.

A further special report will be published in July focusing on the difficulties that can be encountered when complaints are received by local authorities about services delivered through a partnership. Local partnerships and citizen redress sets out our advice and guidance on how these problems can be overcome by adopting good governance arrangements that include an effective complaints protocol.

# Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

Tony Redmond Local Government Ombudsman 10<sup>th</sup> floor, Millbank Tower Millbank LONDON SW1P 4QP

June 2007

Enc: Statistical data

Note on interpretation of statistics

Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Benefits	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Transport and highways	i de la companya de l
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	0	7	0	က	~	2	ش ش
2005/2006	0	တ	Ø	က်	0	~	2
2004 / 2005	0	4	<b>~</b>	ო	.0	0	60

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

Decisions	Se S	Q	sdel M	NW reps	No ma	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	0	ო	0	0	4	<u></u>	0	4	8	2
2005 / 2006	0	က	0	0	က	ო	က	7	12	go.
2004 / 2005	0	ro.	0	0	<b>&amp;</b>	4		forms	18	G) S

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

	TSAT E	FIRST ENQUIRIES
Response times	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	7	43.4
2005/2006	വ	31.8
2004 / 2005	10	33.6

# Average local authority response times 01/04/2006 to 31/03/2007

Types of authority	<= 28 days	29 - 35 days	> = 36 days
	%	%	%
District Councils	48.9	23.4	27.7
Unitary Authorities	30.4	37.0	32.6
Metropolitan Authorities	38.9	41.7	19.4
County Councils	47.1	32.3	20.6
London Boroughs	39.4	33.3	27.3
National Park Authorities	299	33.3	0:0

Crand total:

Detailed Category	Homelessness Housing repairs Housing repairs Housing repairs Managing tenancies Managing tenancies			Planning applications Planning applications Planning applications			Local taxation		•	DEFUNCT DO NOT USE Highways Public transport	
Decision Date	05/10/2006 18/05/2006 Still open Still open 27/03/2007 16/01/2007			14/02/2007 26/03/2007 12/03/2007			Still open			24/08/2006 05/04/2007	
Surname		7	ng control		·			kenid	Ways		C)
Date rcvd by LGO	28/09/2006 18/04/2006 05/09/2006 14/11/2006 07/12/2006 28/04/2006 24/05/2006	total.	anning and buildi	26/09/2006 27/09/2006 13/02/2007	total	linance	29/03/2007	total	rt and high	02/08/2006	total:
Ref no Tousing	06A09506 06A00585 06A08277 06A12056 06A13210 06A01288	Group total:	Planning	06A09326 06A09386 06A16320	Group total:	Publicfi	06A18664	Group total:	Transport and	06A06565 06A14648	Group total:

<b>0</b>
d
31/03/2007:
0
01104/2006
9

Detailed Category	Housing benefit Managing tenancies Planning applications	Housing repairs Antisocial behaviour Planning applications Planning applications	Managing tenancies	Housing repairs Planning applications DEFUNCT DO NOT USE Highways
Main subject area	Benefits Housing Planning and building control	Housing Other Planning and building control Planning and building control	Housing	Housing Housing Planning and building control Transport and highways
Decision Date	24/08/2006 16/01/2007 14/02/2007	nce of maladministration) 27/03/2007 24/07/2006 08/08/2006 26/03/2007	e <b>tion)</b> 13/06/2006	05/10/2006 18/05/2006 12/03/2007 24/08/2006
Surname	m	pient evide	an's Discr	4
Date revd by LGO	31/03/2006 28/04/2006 26/09/2006	insuff. 12/2006 02/2006 10/2005 09/2006	Ombudsma 24/05/2006 otal:	28/09/2006 18/04/2006 13/02/2007 02/08/2006 otal:
Refno	U.S. (L. Ocal Settl) 05A18989 31/ 06A01288 28/ 06A09326 26/ Group total:	Mo mal (No or 06A13210 07/05A16651 14/05A11180 25/06A09386 27/	Omb disc (Om 06A02532 24/ Group total:	Premature CON   06A09506   28, 06A00585   18, 06A16320   13, 06A06565   02, Croup total:

Average no. of days to respond: 43.4

Crand total:

	Detailed Category	Housing benefit	Housing repairs Housing repairs Managing tenancies	Antisocial behaviour	Planning applications Planning applications
	Time taken (calendar days)	81	26 32 100	43	56 29
CUAZUU 10 31103/2017 : SIEVEITAGE	Date response received	21/07/2006 Froup: 18.0	09/10/2006 23/02/2007 04/10/2006 Froup: 52.7	04/05/2006 Froup: 43.0	02/01/2007 21/12/2006 Froup: 42.5
	Date enquiry sent to Council	03/07/2006 21 Average no, of days to respond for Group:	13/09/2006 09 22/01/2007 23 26/06/2006 04 Average no. of days to respond for Group:	22/03/2006 04 Average no. of days to respond for Group:	07/11/2006 02 22/11/2006 21 Average no. of days to respond for Group:
		Average no. o	Average no. o	Average no. 0	Average no. 0
	Surname	yeard			g contro
Response times to first enquiry letters.	Date royd by LGO	'31/03/2006 total:	05/09/2006 07/12/2006 28/04/2006 total:	14/02/2006 total:	anning and building col 6A09326 26/09/2006 6A09386 27/09/2006 Group total: 2
Kesponse	Refno	Benefits 05A18989 Group total:	Group total:	Other 05A16651 Group total:	Planning and 06A09326 06A09386 Group total: